arXiv:1606.00293v2 [math.DS] 2 Jun 2016

ERGODIC MEASURES ON INFINITE SKEW-SYMMETRIC MATRICES OVER NON-ARCHIMEDEAN LOCAL FIELDS

YANQI QIU

Abstract. Let F be a non-discrete non-Archimedean locally compact field such that the characteristic $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq 2$ and let $\mathcal{O}_F$ be the ring of integers in F. The main results of this paper are Theorem 1.2 that classifies ergodic probability measures on the space $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F)$ of infinite skew-symmetric matrices with respect to the natural action of the group $\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F)$ and Theorem 1.4, that gives an unexpected natural correspondence between the set of $\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F)$-invariant Borel probability measures on $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{N}, F)$ and the set of $\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F) \times \mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F)$-invariant Borel probability measures on the space $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F)$ of infinite matrices over F.

1. Main results

The Vershik-Kerov ergodic method is immensely successful in studying the ergodic probability measures with respect to a fixed action of an inductively compact group, see [9, 7, 8, 3, 5, 2] and references therein. In the present note, following the method in [2], we continue the study of the ergodic measures on the space of infinite skew-symmetric matrices over a local field.

Fix any non-discrete locally compact non-Archimedean field F such that the characteristic $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq 2$, that is, in F, we have $2 \neq 0$. Let $|\cdot|$ denote the *absolute value* on F, then the ring of integers in F is given by $\mathcal{O}_F = \{x \in F : |x| \leq 1\}$. The subset $\mathfrak{m} = \{x \in F : |x| < 1\}$ is a maximal and principle ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$. Throughout the paper, we fix a generator ϖ of $\mathfrak{m}$, that is, $\mathfrak{m} = \varpi\mathcal{O}_F$. The quotient $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{m}$ is a finite field with $q = p^f$ elements for some prime number p and positive integer $f \in \mathbb{N}$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_F)$ the general linear group over $\mathcal{O}_F$ of size $n \times n$. Let

$$\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F) := \varinjlim \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_F)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37A35; Secondary 60B10, 60B15.

Key words and phrases. ergodic measures, non-Archimedean locally compact fields, Vershik-Kerov ergodic method, Ismagilov-Olshanski multiplicativity, orbital integrals, skew-symmetric matrices.

be the inductive limit group. Equivalently, $\mathrm{GL}(\infty,\mathcal{O}_F)$ is the group of infinite invertible matrices g with entries in $\mathcal{O}_F$ such that $g_{ij}=\delta_{ij}$ if $i+j$ is large enough.

Let $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F)$ denote the space of infinite skew-symmetric matrices over F, that is,

$$\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F):=\{[X_{ij}]_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}|X_{ij}\in F,X_{ij}=-X_{ji},\forall i,j\in\mathbb{N}\}.$$

Similarly, for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, denote

$$\mathrm{Skew}(n,F):=\{X=[X_{ij}]_{1\leq i,j\leq n}|X_{ij}\in F,X=-X^t\}.$$

Consider the group action of $\mathrm{GL}(\infty,\mathcal{O}_F)$ on $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F)$ defined by

$$(g,A)\mapsto gAg^t,\quad g\in\mathrm{GL}(\infty,\mathcal{O}_F),A\in\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F).$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F))$ denote the corresponding space of ergodic probability measures on $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F)$, endowed with the induced weak topology.

Our main result is the classification of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F))$. Let us proceed to the precise statement. Define

$$\Delta:=\left\{\Bbbk=(k_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\middle|k_j\in\mathbb{Z}\cup\{-\infty\};k_1\geq k_2\geq\cdots\right\}.$$

The set Δ is equipped with the induced topology of the Tychonoff's product topology on $(\mathbb{Z}\cup\{-\infty\})^{\mathbb{N}}$ by the inclusion $\Delta\subset(\mathbb{Z}\cup\{-\infty\})^{\mathbb{N}}$. To each sequence $\Bbbk\in\Delta$, we now assign an ergodic $\mathrm{GL}(\infty,\mathcal{O}_F)$-invariant probability measure on $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F)$.

In what follows, we use the convention $\varpi^{\infty}=0$.

Definition 1.1. *Given an element $\Bbbk\in\Delta$, let $\mu_{\Bbbk}$ be the probability distribution of the infinite skew-symmetric random matrix $A_{\Bbbk}$ defined as follows. Let*

$$X_i^{(n)},\quad Y_i^{(n)},\quad Z_{ij},\quad i<j,n=1,2,\cdots$$

be independent random variables, each sampled uniformly from $\mathcal{O}_F$.

Denote $k:=\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}k_n\in\mathbb{Z}\cup\{-\infty\}$ and set

$$A_{\Bbbk}:=\sum_{n:\,k_n>k}\varpi^{-k_n}\left[X_i^{(n)}Y_j^{(n)}-X_j^{(n)}Y_i^{(n)}\right]_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}+\varpi^{-k}Z,$$

where $Z:=[Z_{ij}]_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is defined by $Z_{ij}=-Z_{ji}$ for $i>j$ and $Z_{ii}=0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that the characteristic* $\mathrm{char}(F)\neq 2$. *Then the map $\Bbbk\mapsto\mu_{\Bbbk}$ defines a homeomorphism between Δ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F))$.*

Remark 1.3. *By Theorem 1.2, the space $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F))$ is weakly closed in the space of all Borel measures on* $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F)$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar to that of [2, Theorem 1.2], in this note we only give an outline.

For stating our second result, let us recall some notation from [2]. Given any group action of a group G on a Polish space $\mathcal{X}$, we denote by

$\mathcal{P}^G_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{X})$ or $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{X})$ the corresponding set of invariant Borel probability measures on $\mathcal{X}$ and by $\mathcal{P}^G_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathcal{X})$ or $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathcal{X})$ the set of ergodic Borel probability measures on $\mathcal{X}$.

Recall the definition

$$\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F) := \{X = [X(i,j)]_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}} | X(i,j) \in F \text{ for all } i,j \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and the group action of $\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F) \times \mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F)$ on $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F)$:

$$((g_1, g_2), X) \mapsto g_1 X g_2^{-1}, \quad g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F), X \in \mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F).$$

The map $\tau(X) := X - X^t$ from $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F)$ to $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F)$ induces an affine map

$$\tau_* : \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F)) \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F)) \tag{1.1}$$

by restricting the pushforward map onto $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F))$.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that the characteristic* $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq 2$. *Then the map* $\tau_* : \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F)) \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F))$ *is a homeomorphic affine isomorphism between topological convex sets.*

Comparing Theorem 1.2 with [2, Theorem 1.2], using the notation in [2], we also have

Corollary 1.5. *There is a natural correspondence between the sets of spherical representations of the following two infinite dimensional Cartan motion groups:*

$$\mathrm{Mat}(\infty, F) \rtimes (\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F) \times \mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F)),$$
$$\mathrm{Skew}(\infty, F) \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F).$$

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Notation.** For any Polish space $\mathcal{X}$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ the set of Borel probability measures on $\mathcal{X}$. If a sequence $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ converges weakly to $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$, then we denote it by $\mu_n \Longrightarrow \mu$.

Let e_{11} denote the matrix whose $(1,1)$-coefficient is 1 and all other coefficients are zeros. The size of the matrix e_{11} will be clear from the context. Let J denote the following skew-symmetric matrix

$$J := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In what follows, if $n \geq 2$, then for any matrix A over F of size $n \times n$, we use the conventions:

$$AJ := A \cdot \mathrm{diag}(J, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{n-2 \text{ times}}) \text{ and } JA := \mathrm{diag}(J, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{n-2 \text{ times}}) \cdot A.$$

Recall the definition of the characteristic function for a Borel probability measure on $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F)$. First, fix any character χ of F such that

$$\chi|_{\mathcal{O}_F} \equiv 1 \text{ and } \chi \text{ is not constant on } \varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_F.$$

Let $\mathrm{Skew}(\infty,F)$ denote the subspace of $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F)$ consisting of matrices whose all but a finite number of coefficients are zeros. The characteristic function $\widehat{\mu}$ for any probability measure $\mu\in\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F))$ is defined on $\mathrm{Skew}(\infty,F)$ by

$$\widehat{\mu}(X):=\int_{\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F)}\chi(\mathrm{tr}(XS))\mu(dS).$$

The following lemma is elementary. For reader's convenience, we include its routine proof in Appendix.

Lemma 2.1. *Every matrix $A\in\mathrm{Skew}(2n,F)$ can be written in the form*

$$A=g\cdot\mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{-k_1}J,\varpi^{-k_2}J,\cdots,\varpi^{-k_n}J)\cdot g^t,\quad g\in\mathrm{GL}(2n,\mathcal{O}_F),$$

where $k_1,\cdots,k_n\in\mathbb{Z}\cup\{-\infty\}$ and $k_1\geq k_2\geq\cdots\geq k_n\geq-\infty$.

3. Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.2

3.1. Invariance and Ergodicity.

Theorem 3.1. *For any $\Bbbk\in\Delta$, the probability measure $\mu_\Bbbk$ is ergodic $\mathrm{GL}(\infty,\mathcal{O}_F)$-invariant. Moreover, for any two elements $\Bbbk,\Bbbk'\in\Delta$,*

$$\mu_\Bbbk=\mu_{\Bbbk'}\text{ if and only if }\Bbbk=\Bbbk'. \tag{3.2}$$

The fact that $\mu_\Bbbk$'s are $\mathrm{GL}(\infty,\mathcal{O}_F)$-invariant is simple and its proof is similar to that of [2, Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.9].

The assertion (3.2) is an immediate consequence of [2, Lemma 5.2] and Proposition 3.4 below.

For proving that $\mu_\Bbbk$'s are $\mathrm{GL}(\infty,\mathcal{O}_F)$-ergodic, we use again an argument of Okounkov and Olshanski in [4]: that is, the ergodicity of $\mu_\Bbbk$ is derived from the De Finetti Theorem. For the detail, the reader is referred to the proof of [2, Theorem 3.5]. A slight difference appears here is: instead of using [2, Lemma 3.6], we use the following Lemma 3.2, whose proof is simple and routine.

Lemma 3.2. *The map $A\mapsto(A(2i-1,2i))_{i=1}^\infty$ from $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F)$ to $F^\mathbb{N}$ induces an affine embedding*

$$\Psi:\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F))\to\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{inv}}^{S(\infty)}(F^\mathbb{N}),$$

where $S(\infty):=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}S(n)$ is the union of the group $S(n)$ of permutations of $\{1,2,\cdots,n\}$ and $S(\infty)$ acts on $F^\mathbb{N}$ by permutations of coordinates.

3.2. Computation of characteristic functions.

For any $\Bbbk$ in Δ, since $\mu_\Bbbk$ is $\mathrm{GL}(\infty,\mathcal{O}_F)$-invariant, so does $\widehat{\mu_\Bbbk}$. Consequently, it suffices to compute

$$\widehat{\mu_\Bbbk}(\mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{-\ell_1}J,\cdots,\varpi^{-\ell_r}J,0,\cdots))$$

for any $r\in\mathbb{N}$ and any $\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_r\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Define a function $\Theta : F \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Theta(x) := \int\limits_{\mathrm{Mat}(2,\mathcal{O}_F)} \chi\Big(x \cdot \mathrm{tr}(YJY^tJ)\Big)dY.$$

Since $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq 2$, there exists a unique $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, such that

$$|2| = q^{-\ell_0}. \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.3. *The function Θ is given by*

$$\Theta(x) = \exp(-2\log q \cdot (\ell - \ell_0)\mathbb{1}_{\ell \geq \ell_0}), \text{ if } |x| = q^{\ell}.$$

Proof. By a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(x) &= \int\limits_{\mathrm{Mat}(2,\mathcal{O}_F)} \chi(-2x \cdot \det Y)dY \\
&= \int\limits_{\mathcal{O}_F^4} \chi(-2x(y_1y_2 - y_3y_4))dy_1dy_2dy_3dy_4 \\
&= \int\limits_{\mathcal{O}_F^2} \chi(-2xy_1y_2)dy_1dy_2 \int\limits_{\mathcal{O}_F^2} \chi(2xy_3y_4)dy_3dy_4 \\
&= \Big| \int\limits_{\mathcal{O}_F^2} \chi(2xy_1y_2)dy_1dy_2 \Big|^2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |2x| \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{|2x|^2} & \text{if } |2x| > 1 \end{cases}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking (3.3) into account, we get the desired identity. □

Using Lemma 3.3 and by direct computations, we obtain the following

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\Bbbk = (k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \Delta$. Then any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\widehat{\mu_{\Bbbk}}(\varpi^{-\ell}e_{11}) = \exp\Big(-2\log q \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(k_n + \ell - \ell_0)\mathbb{1}_{\{k_n+\ell\geq\ell_0\}}\Big).$$

More generally, for any $\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\widehat{\mu_{\Bbbk}}(\mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{-\ell_1}, \cdots, \varpi^{-\ell_r}, 0, \cdots)) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \widehat{\mu_{\Bbbk}}(\varpi^{-\ell_i}e_{11}).$$

3.3. **Vershik-Kerov ergodic method.** For notational convenience, we will use the identity

$$\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F) = \varinjlim \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_F) = \varinjlim \mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathcal{O}_F).$$

Definition 3.5. *(i) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $A \in \mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F)$, we define the $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathcal{O}_F)$-orbital measure generated by A, denoted by $m_n(A)$, as the image of the normalized Haar measure of $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathcal{O}_F)$ under the map $g \mapsto g \cdot x$ from $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathcal{O}_F)$ to $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F)$.*

(ii) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathscr{ORB}_n(\mathrm{Skew}(2n,F))$ denote the set of all orbital measures $m_n(A)$, where A ranges over the space $\mathrm{Skew}(2n,F)$.

(ii) Let $\mathscr{ORB}_\infty(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F))$ be the set of probability measures μ on $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F)$ such that there exists a sequence of positive integers $n_1<n_2<\cdots$ and a sequence $(\mu_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of orbital measures with $\mu_{n_k}\in\mathscr{ORB}_{n_k}(\mathrm{Skew}(2n_k,F))$, so that $\mu_{n_k}\Longrightarrow\mu$.

As a variant of Vershik's Theorem, we have

Theorem 3.6 (Vershik)**.** *The following inclusion holds:*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F))\subset\mathscr{ORB}_\infty(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F)).$$

3.4. **Asymptotic formulas for orbital integrals.** By Lemma 2.1, any $\mu\in\mathscr{ORB}_n(\mathrm{Skew}(2n,F))$ can be written in the form:

$$\mu=m_n(\mathrm{diag}(x_1J,\cdots,x_nJ)).$$

By the $\mathrm{GL}(2n,\mathcal{O}_F)$-invariance of $\widehat{\mu}$, it suffices to compute the following orbital integrals:

$$\widehat{m_n(D)}(A)=\int_{\mathrm{GL}(2n,\mathcal{O}_F)}\chi(\mathrm{tr}(gDg^tA))dg, \tag{3.4}$$

where D and A are two skew-symmetric matrices given by:

$$D=\mathrm{diag}(x_1J,\cdots,x_nJ),A=\mathrm{diag}(a_1J,\cdots,a_rJ,0,\cdots), \tag{3.5}$$

with $r\leq n$ and $x_1,\cdots,x_n,a_1,\cdots,a_r\in F$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $n,r\in\mathbb{N}$ be such that $r\leq n$. Suppose that D and A are two skew-symmetric matrices given by (3.5). Then*

$$\Big|\widehat{m_n(D)}(A)-\prod_{i=1}^{r}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Theta(a_ix_j)\Big|\leq 2\cdot(1-\prod_{w=0}^{2r-1}(1-q^{w-2n})), \tag{3.6}$$

where dg is the normalized Haar measure on $\mathrm{GL}(2n,\mathcal{O}_F)$.

The proof of Theorem 3.7 is based on the following

Proposition 3.8. *Let $f:\mathrm{Mat}(n,\mathcal{O}_F)\to\mathbb{C}$ be a bounded measurable function. Assume that f depends only on the the $r\times n$ coefficients, that is, we have*

$$f(X)=f([X_{ij}]_{1\leq i\leq r,1\leq j\leq n}).$$

Then

$$\Big|\int_{\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathcal{O}_F)}f(g)dg-\int_{\mathrm{Mat}(n,\mathcal{O}_F)}f(X)dX\Big|\leq 2\|f\|_\infty\cdot(1-\prod_{w=0}^{r-1}(1-q^{w-n})).$$

where dg and dX are normalized Haar measures of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathcal{O}_F)$ and $\mathrm{Mat}(n,\mathcal{O}_F)$ respectively.

Proof. The proof of Proposition 3.8 is similar to that of [2, Theorem 7.1], here we omit the detail. □

Remark 3.9. *Proposition 3.8 goes back to the author's note* [6].

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Fix $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and fix the two skew-symmetric matrices D and A given as in (3.5). Define $f : \mathrm{Mat}(2n, \mathcal{O}_F) \to \mathbb{C}$ by the formula

$$f(X) = \chi(\mathrm{tr}(XDX^tA)).$$

Writing $X \in \mathrm{Mat}(2n, \mathcal{O}_F)$ in the form $X = [X_{ij}]_{1\le i,j\le n}$, where X_{ij}'s are 2×2 blocks. Expanding $\mathrm{tr}(XDX^tA)$, we get

$$f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{r}\prod_{j=1}^{n} \chi\Big(a_i x_j \cdot \mathrm{tr}(X_{ij} J X_{ij}^t J)\Big).$$

This implies that f only depends on the $2r \times 2n$ coefficients of X. Applying Proposition 3.8, we get

$$\Big| \int\limits_{\mathrm{GL}(2n,\mathcal{O}_F)} f(g)dg - \int\limits_{\mathrm{Mat}(2n,\mathcal{O}_F)} f(X)dX \Big| \le 2 \cdot (1 - \prod_{w=0}^{2r-1}(1 - q^{w-2n})).$$

The inequality (3.5) follows by observing that

$$\int\limits_{\mathrm{Mat}(2n,\mathcal{O}_F)} f(X)dX = \int\limits_{\mathrm{Mat}(2n,\mathcal{O}_F)} \prod_{i=1}^{r}\prod_{j=1}^{n} \chi\Big(a_i x_j \cdot \mathrm{tr}(X_{ij} J X_{ij}^t J)\Big) dX$$

$$= \prod_{1\le i\le r, 1\le j\le n} \int\limits_{\mathrm{Mat}(2,\mathcal{O}_F)} \chi\Big(a_i x_j \cdot \mathrm{tr}(Y J Y^t J)\Big) dY = \prod_{1\le i\le r, 1\le j\le n} \Theta(a_i x_j).$$

□

3.5. Completion of classification of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F))$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of probability measures such that $\mu_n \in \mathscr{ORB}_n(\mathrm{Skew}(2n, F))$. A necessary and sufficient condition for $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to be tight is the following:*

(C) *There exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the supports $\mathrm{supp}(\mu_n)$ are all contained in the following compact subset of $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F)$:*

$$\Big\{ X \in \mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F) \Big| |X_{ij}| \le q^{\gamma}, \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N} \Big\}.$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma 3.10 is similar to that of [2, Lemma 8.6]. □

Theorem 3.11. *The map $\Bbbk \mapsto \mu_\Bbbk$ induces a bijection between Δ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F))$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [2, Theorem 8.8]. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Theorem 3.11, we only need to prove that the map $\Bbbk \mapsto \mu_\Bbbk$ from Δ to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F))$ and its inverse are both continuous. This part of proof is similar to that of [2, Theorem 1.2]. □

4. Correspondence between $\mathcal{P}_{\text{inv}}(\text{Mat}(\mathbb{N},F))$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{inv}}(\text{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F))$

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.4. First, we derive a Krein-Milman type result from the ergodic decomposition formula due to Alexander Bufetov for invariant probability measures with respect to a fixed action of inductively compact group. Let

$$K(1)\subset K(2)\subset\cdots\subset K(n)\subset\cdots$$

be an increasing chain of compact metrizable groups and set

$$K(\infty)=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}K(n).$$

Fix a group action of $K(\infty)$ on a Polish space $\mathcal{X}$.

Theorem 4.1 (Bufetov [1, Theorem 1])**.** *For any $\nu\in\mathcal{P}_{\text{inv}}^{K(\infty)}(\mathcal{X})$, there exists a Borel probability $\overline{\nu}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{\text{erg}}^{K(\infty)}(\mathcal{X})$ such that*

$$\nu=\int_{\mathcal{P}_{\text{erg}}^{K(\infty)}(\mathcal{X})}\eta\,d\overline{\nu}(\eta). \tag{4.7}$$

Clearly, any Borel probability measure ν represented by the formula (4.7) is $K(\infty)$-invariant.

Given a subset $E\subset V$ of a locally convex topological vector space V, we denote by $\overline{\text{co}}[E]$ the closed convex hull of E. If moreover, $K(\infty)$ acts on $\mathcal{X}$ by homeomorphisms, then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{P}_{\text{inv}}^{K(\infty)}(\mathcal{X})$ is weakly closed. As an immediate corollary of Bufetov's Theorem 4.1, we have

Corollary 4.2. *Assume that $K(\infty)$ acts on a Polish space $\mathcal{X}$ by homeomorphisms. Then $\mathcal{P}_{\text{inv}}^{K(\infty)}(\mathcal{X})=\overline{\text{co}}[\mathcal{P}_{\text{erg}}^{K(\infty)}(\mathcal{X})]$.*

Let us define $\mathcal{M}_{\text{inv}}(\text{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F))$ to be the Banach space over $\mathbb{R}$ consisting of $\text{GL}(\infty,\mathcal{O}_F)$-invariant signed Borel measures *of finite total variation* on $\text{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F)$ and equipped with the norm:

$$\|\mu\|=|\mu|=\text{total variation of }\mu.$$

Define the Banach space $\mathcal{M}_{\text{inv}}(\text{Mat}(\mathbb{N},F))$ in a similar way. Note that by Jordan decomposition theorem for signed measures, any measure $\sigma\in\mathcal{M}_{\text{inv}}(\text{Mat}(\mathbb{N},F))$ is represented by

$$\sigma=\lambda_1\mu_1-\lambda_2\mu_2,\ \text{with }\lambda_1,\lambda_2\geq 0,\mu_1,\mu_2\in\mathcal{P}_{\text{inv}}(\text{Mat}(\mathbb{N},F)).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By the classification of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{erg}}(\text{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F))$ in Theorem 1.2 and the classification $\mathcal{P}_{\text{erg}}(\text{Mat}(\mathbb{N},F))$ in [2], we see immediately that the following affine map defined in §1:

$$\tau_*:\mathcal{P}_{\text{inv}}(\text{Mat}(\mathbb{N},F))\to\mathcal{P}_{\text{inv}}(\text{Skew}(\mathbb{N},F)) \tag{4.8}$$

induces a homeomorphism

$$\tau_* : \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F)) \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F)).$$

Consequently, we have the following bijection

$$(\tau_*)_* : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F))) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{erg}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F))).$$

Applying Theorem 4.1, we obtain that the map (4.8) is a bijection.

Now we prove that the map (4.8) is homeomorphic. The bijective map (4.8) extends to a bijective linear map (denoted again by τ_*):

$$\tau_* : \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F)) \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F)) \tag{4.9}$$

Moreover, since the map $X \overset{\tau}{\mapsto} X - X^t$ is continuous from $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F)$ to $\mathrm{Skew}(\mathbb{N}, F)$, so is the induced map (4.9). Applying the Open Mapping Theorem, we obtain that the map (4.9) is a homeomorphism. It follows that the restriction (4.8) is also homeomorphic. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is completed. □

Comments on Theorem 1.4. (i) The injectivity of the map (4.8) can be proved directly in an alternative way as follows. Assume that $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F))$. Let us show that $\widehat{\sigma}$ is uniquely determined by $\tau_*(\sigma)$.

First let us compute

$$\widehat{\sigma}(\mathrm{diag}(x, y, 0, \cdots)), \quad x, y \in F^\times.$$

By the invariance of σ, the function $\widehat{\sigma}(\mathrm{diag}(x, y, 0, \cdots))$ is symmetric on x, y. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $0 < |y| \leq |x|$. Then $x^{-1}y \in \mathcal{O}_F \setminus \{0\}$. We claim that

$$\widehat{\sigma}(\mathrm{diag}(x, y, 0, \cdots)) = \widehat{\tau_*(\sigma)}(2^{-1}xJ). \tag{4.10}$$

Indeed, the following equality

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ -x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -x^{-1}y \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

combined with the $\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F) \times \mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F)$-invariance of σ implies that

(4.11)

$$\widehat{\sigma}(\mathrm{diag}(x, y, 0, \cdots)) = \widehat{\sigma}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ -x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -x^{-1}y \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \widehat{\sigma}(xJ).$$

Take an infinite random matrix M in $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F)$ sampled with respect to σ, then the distribution of the random matrix $M - M^t$ is $\tau_*(\sigma)$. We can write (4.11) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}[\chi(M(1,1)x + M(2,2)y)] = \mathbb{E}[\chi((M(1,2) - M(2,1)) \cdot x)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\chi(2^{-1}x \cdot \mathrm{tr}((M - M^t)J))]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

This is exactly the desired equality (4.10).

Taking $y \to 0$ in (4.12), by bounded convergence theorem, we get

$$\widehat{\sigma}(xe_{11}) = \widehat{\tau_*(\sigma)}(2^{-1}xJ).$$

Similarly, for general $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write the function

$$\widehat{\sigma}(\mathrm{diag}(x_1, \cdots, x_r, 0, \cdots)), \quad x_1, \cdots, x_r \in F$$

in terms of the characteristic function of $\tau_*(\sigma)$.

We thus prove the injectivity of the map (4.8).

(ii) For the natural group action $\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathcal{O}_F)$ on the space $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{N}, F)$ of infinite symmetric matrices over F, there is not an analogue result as Theorem 1.4 for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F))$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{N}, F))$.

However, similar argument as in above shows that if $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq 2$, then the map $X \mapsto X + X^t$ from $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F)$ to $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{N}, F)$ induces an affine embedding

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, F)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{N}, F)).$$

(iii) Note that in Euclidean case, for any size, there exists a trivial correspondence between the space of Hermitian matrices and the space of anti-Hermitian matrices. Hence we have a trivial correspondence of the set of unitarily invariant Borel probability measures on the space of infinite Hermitian matrices and the set of Borel probability measures on the space of infinite anti-Hermitian matrices.

Remark 4.3. *It is not clear whether one can prove, without using the classifications of ergodic measures, that the map* (4.8) *is surjective.*

5. Appendix

Proof of Lemma 2.1. First note that for any $x = u\varpi^{-k} \in F$ with $u \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, we have

$$xJ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ -x & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varpi^{-k} \\ -\varpi^{-k} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that we only need to prove that each skew-symmetric matrix $A \in \mathrm{Skew}(2n, F)$ can be written in the form

$$A = g \cdot \mathrm{diag}(x_1 J, x_2 J, \cdots, x_n J) \cdot g^t, \quad (g \in \mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathcal{O}_F)). \tag{5.13}$$

If $n = 1$, then there is nothing to prove. Assume that the decomposition (5.13) holds for $n - 1$. Now take any non-zero skew-symmetric matrix $A = [x_{ij}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n} \in \mathrm{Skew}(2n, F)$. We claim that, upper to passing to $g \cdot A \cdot g^t$ for a certain $g \in \mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathcal{O}_F)$ if necessary, we may assume that

$$|x_{12}| = \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n} |x_{ij}|.$$

Indeed, assume that (i_0, j_0) is a pair of indices such that $i_0 < j_0$ and $|x_{i_0 j_0}| = \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n} |x_{ij}|$. If $(i_0, j_0) = (1, 2)$, then there is nothing to do. Now assume that $(i_0, j_0) \neq (1, 2)$. For a given permutation $\sigma \in S(2n)$, we denote by M_σ the permutation matrix defined by $M_\sigma(i, j) = \mathbb{1}_{\sigma(i)=j}$.

If $\{i_0, j_0\} \cap \{1, 2\} = \emptyset$, then let $g = M_{(1i_0)}M_{(2j_0)}$, where $(1i_0)$ and $(2j_0)$ are the transpositions exchanging $1, i_0$ and $2, j_0$ respectively. Then

$$gAg^t = M_{(1i_0)}M_{(2j_0)}AM^t_{(2j_0)}M^t_{(1i_0)} = M_{(2j_0)}M_{(1i_0)}AM_{(2j_0)}M_{(1i_0)}$$

and the $(1, 2)$-coefficient of gAg^t is $x_{i_0j_0}$. If $\{i_0, j_0\} \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$. Either $\{i_0, j_0\} \cap \{1, 2\} = \{1\}$, since $j_0 > i_0$, we must have $i_0 = 1$ or $\{i_0, j_0\} \cap \{1, 2\} = \{2\}$, which implies that $i_0 = 2$, indeed, otherwise, we would have $j_0 = 2$, then since $i_0 < j_0$, we would have $(i_0, j_0) = (1, 2)$ contradicts to the assumption that $(i_0, j_0) \neq (1, 2)$. In the first case $i_0 = 1$, take $g = M_{(1j_0)}$, then the $(1, 2)$-coefficient of $gAg^t = M_{(2j_0)}AM_{(2j_0)}$ is $x_{i_0j_0}$. In the second case $i_0 = 2$, take $g = M_{(2j_0)}M_{(12)}$, then

$$gAg^t = M_{(2j_0)}M_{(12)}AM_{(12)}M_{(2j_0)}$$

and the $(1, 2)$-coefficient of gAg^t is $x_{i_0j_0}$.

Now we may write A in the block form $A = [A_{uv}]_{1\leq u,v\leq n}$ with A_{uv} the 2×2 blocks. By the above assumption, we have $A_{11} = xJ$ and x has maximal absolute value. By taking

$$g^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x^{-1}JA_{12} & x^{-1}JA_{13} & \cdots & x^{-1}JA_{1n} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathcal{O}_F),$$

we arrive at

$$gAg^t = \begin{bmatrix} xJ & 0 \\ 0 & A' \end{bmatrix}.$$

By induction assumption, the $(2n-2)\times(2n-2)$ skew-symmetric matrix A' is diagonalizable. Hence so does A. By induction, Lemma 2.1 is proved completely. □

6. Acknowledgements

The author is deeply grateful to Grigori Olshanski and Alexander Bufetov for helpful discussions. This research is supported by the grant IDEX UNITI-ANR-11-IDEX-0002-02, financed by Programme "Investissements d'Avenir" of the Government of the French Republic managed by the French National Research Agency.

References

[1] A. I. Bufetov. Ergodic decomposition for measures quasi-invariant under Borel actions of inductively compact groups. *Mat. Sb.*, 205(2):39–70, 2014.

[2] A. I. Bufetov and Y. Qiu. Ergodic measures on spaces of infinite matrices over non-Archimedean locally compact fields. *arXiv:1605.09600, May 2016.*

[3] S. V. Kerov and A. M. Vershik. The characters of the infinite symmetric group and probability properties of the Robinson-Schensted-Knuth algorithm. *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods*, 7(1):116–124, 1986.
[4] A. Yu. Okounkov, G. I. Olshanski, Asymptotics of Jack polynomials as the number of variables goes to infinity, Intern. Math. Research Notices 1998, no. 13, 641-682.
[5] G. I. Olshanski and A. M.Vershik. Ergodic unitarily invariant measures on the space of infinite Hermitian matrices. In *Contemporary mathematical physics*, volume 175 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 137–175. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
[6] Y. Qiu. Truncation of Haar random matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_m)$. *arXiv:1602.08234, Feb 2016*.
[7] A. M. Vershik and S. V. Kerov. Asymptotic theory of characters of the symmetric group. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 15(4):246–255, 1981.
[8] A. M. Vershik and S. V. Kerov. Characters and factor representations of the infinite symmetric group. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 257(5):1037–1040, 1981.
[9] A. M. Vershik. A description of invariant measures for actions of certain infinite-dimensional groups. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 218:749–752, 1974.

Yanqi QIU: CNRS, Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université Paul Sabatier, 118 Route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France
E-mail address: yqi.qiu@gmail.com